PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	1 !	5	NOV	2004
WIPO				PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmi Preliminary Examination Re	ttal of International eport (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
56029-40434 International application No.	International filing date (day/mor		(day/month/year)	
PCT/US03/11802	15 April 2003 (15 04 2003)	15 4	77 (15 04 2002)	
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or national classification and IPC	15 April 200	02 (15.04.2002)	
IPC(7): A01N 63/00; C12N 1/20 and US	S C1 · 424/234 1 257 1 258 1 03	1 02 2 02 4: 425/242 252	2	
Applicant	7 01 4247204.1, 237.1, 230.1, 72	1, 75.2, 75.4, 455/245, 252		
WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY				
This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.				
2. This REPORT consists of	a total of 5 sheets, including	his cover sheet.		
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). These annexes consist of a total of sheets.				
3. This report contains indicate	ations relating to the following	ems:		
I Priority				
III Non-establishm	ent of report with regard to nov	elty, inventive step and in	dustrial applicability	
IV Lack of unity o	f invention			
V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
VI Certain documents cited				
VII Certain defects in the international application				
VIII Certain observations on the international application				
Date of submission of the demand	Date	of completion of this repo	rt	
29 October 2003 (29.10.2003)		29 October 2004 (29.10.2004)		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US		Authorized officer		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents	Patri	Patricia A. Duffy A. Roberts for		
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 13-1450		one No. (571) 272-1600	l'	
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Telephotic No. (371) 272-1000 Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(July 1998)				

International applic	ation No.
PCT/US03/11802	
1	

I.	Basis of the report	
1.	With regard to the elements of the international application:*	
	the international application as originally filed.	
	the description:	
	pages 1-72 as originally filed	ļ
	pages NONE, filed with the demand pages NONE, filed with the letter of	
	the claims:	
	pages NONE, as originally filed pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19	
	pages NONE , filed with the demand	
	pages 73-78 , filed with the letter of 16 July 2004 (16.07.2004)	
	the drawings:	1
	pages 1-54 , as originally filed	
	pages NONE, filed with the demand pages NONE, filed with the letter of	
	the sequence listing part of the description:	Ì
	pages NONE, as originally filed pages NONE, filed with the demand	١
ļ	pages NONE , filed with the letter of	
2.	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the	
	language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	
	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:	
	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).	۱
	the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).	1
	the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).	
3	. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:	
	contained in the international application in printed form.	
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.	
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.	1
	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.	
	The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.	1g
14	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	ı
	the description pages NONE	
	the description, pages NONE	
	the claims, Nos. NONE	
	the drawings, sheets/fig NONE	
	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**	
	 Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17). Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report. 	.,,
- 1		

International application No.	
DCT/11002/11002	

III. Nor	n-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
	question whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or industrially applicable have not been and will not be examined in respect of:				
	the entire international application,				
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 2-4,7, 28 and 33-40				
becaus	because:				
	the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require international preliminary examination (specify):				
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
	the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 2-4,7,28 and 33-40				
2. A me seque	vaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acidence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions: the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.				
	the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.				
	•				

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box III) (July 1998)

International application No. PCT/US03/11802

V.	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. STATEMENT

Novelty (N)	Claims NONE	YES
	Claims 1, 5, 6, 8-27, 29-32	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims NONE	YES
• ` ` `	Claims 1, 5, 6, 8-27, 29-32	N0
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1, 5, 6, 8-27, 29-32	YES
	Claims NONE	NO

2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Neither of the priority documents discloses the claimed invention to enable the claims using an attenuated derivative of a pathogenic Salmonella species that has an enhanced ability to produce cross-protective immunity for the family of Salmonella species and E. coli strains. The disclosure is limited to a single Salmonella typhimurium with specific mutations in specific genes. The priority documents lack written description of cross-protection studies using the disclosed attenuated Salmonella typhimurium with a number of different genera encompassed within the claimed Salmonella genus and E. coli species. As such, the priority has been found to be invalid.

Clams 1, 5, 6, 8-27 and 29-32 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Zhang et al. (Abstracts of the General Meeting of the American Society for Microbiology. May 2, Vol. 102, pages 512-513, Abstract).

Zhang et al teach strains of Salmonella thphimurium that has delta pmi-2426 and deltafur::araC pBADfur deletion mutations that have cross-protectivity against other Samonella stereotypes. The amendment presented in the letter of 16 July 2004 does not obiviate this objection.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box V) (July 1998)

International application No.

PCT/US03/11802

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the questions whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claim 1 and every claim dependent thereon are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 6 because claim 1 is indefinite for the following reason(s): the claims recite "a first antigen antigen", "a first carbohydrate antigen" and a second carbohydrate antigen". It is unclear how the first antigen is related to teh "first carbohydrate antigen". the but fail to define "a first antigen" as such, it is unclear what is the first antigen. The claim recites multiple means for regulatable expression and in the means of stepa (a) a first antigen is set forth while step (b) recites a "first carbohydrate antigen". It is unclear what is first, second and third. It is unclear what is regulated and the nature of the antigen as it relates to the means. Therefore, the claims are still confusing and the amendment filed with the letter of 16 July 2004 does not obivate this issue.

Claims 1, 5, 6, 8-27, 29-32 are objected to as lacking clarity under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) because of the claims are not fully supported by the description. The description does not disclose the claimed invention in a manner sufficiently clear and complete for the claimed invention to be carried out by a person skilled in the art because: The disclosure is devoid of any showing of enhanced cross-protective immunity using the disclosed attenuated Salmonella typhimurium consisting essentially of a deltafur::TTaraCPBAD fur deletion-insertion mutation and a deltpmi mutation. The description lacks written description of enhanced cross-protective immunity toward the genus of Salmonella and E. coli species as claimed. While the art has documented cross-protection within the genus of Salmonella for particulare antigens, this description fails to demonstrate induced cross-protection within a either this genus or the claimed E. coli species. Therefore, the description fails to support by way of written description that the skilled artisan practicing even the particular species disclosed can achieve Family related cross-protection for pathogenic bacteria falling within the family regardless of the particular genus and species.

In view of the lack of written description of the ability of any attenuated bacterium of the disclosure to induce enhanced cross-protective immunity against the claimed genus and E. coli species using any disclosed attenuated bacterium, the description does not disclosed the claimed invention in a manner sufficiently clear to be carried out by a person skilled in the art.